### "Salvage That Water-Logged Gas Production"

Author, Ted Ashby Downhole Injection Systems, Inc.

> Co-Author, Steve Holland AMOCO Production

Older wells become marginal due to a variety of production problems. AMOCO's Carthage team looked into a revolutionary new method to increase production in two producing zones, in their area of responsibility.

### Travis Peak Production.

The Travis Peak produces from a salt-bearing zone. Excellent producing wells will produce over one MMCFD. Salt builds up with the produced gas and has to be <u>removed weekly</u>. This requires one to two days of wireline work or coiled tubing service to remove the salt covering the perforations. This service, plus the lost production, reduces the potential profits of these wells. Steve Holland and John Conway contacted Downhole Injection Systems and discussed the problem and, together, they designed a more cost-effective system to remove the salt.

The first well selected on December 19, 1995, was the Burnett Bros. No. 25, which is a "Slim Hole" (2<sup>7</sup>/<sub>8</sub>") completion. The salt buildup of  $\pm 200$ ' was removed and the Downhole Injection System was run inside the production tubing to a depth below the bottom perforations. The Downhole Injection System was secured and readied for chemical injection on a 24-hour basis. A simple surface system allows total control of fluid and chemical mix to be injected downhole for removal of the salt buildup. A mix of KCL fluid and Foamier was selected for

removal of the salt. With continuous 24-hour injection, fluid rates and chemical inhibitors can be adjusted or completely changed, as required.

Within a few hours, production increases could be seen. The new system was adjusted for rates of fluid needed for salt removal. Initially, injection rates of up to 15 barrels per day were used. As a result of this large amount, the well had too much fluid buildup; however, a quantity of Foamier was injected down the hole and within 24 hours, the additional fluid was unloaded and the well was back on production with an increase of over 40%. This well has been operating with no salt buildup or down time since December, 1995.

The optimum KCL Foamier rate to keep the perforations clean and flowing at its maximum is one to two barrels, per day. To date, there are five Travis Peak, and four Cotton Valley wells on production with this system -- all with increases in production and no down time.

### Cotton Valley Production.

The Cotton Valley is a deep producing field with large volumes of fluid buildup. The completions are unlike the Travis Peak wells. The Cotton Valley wells have Packers that are set 800' to 1.100' above the producing zone. We run the small tubing through the production tubing, through the Packer, and down into the bottom of the producing zone. At this point, we inject a foaming agent to lighten the produced fluid, and carry that fluid out of the well. This system in all cases has increased gas production well over 40%.

### Other Applications.

Now, we have the capacity to easily deliver chemicals to the source of the problem. The small tubing can be used for accurate delivery of chemicals such as corrosion, scale and paraffin inhibitors, foaming agents and methanol. This system ensures delivery of chemical treatment without interrupting production. A precise placement of chemical at the bottom of the production string is a potential solution for many production problems.

Stainless steel tubing is used in most of the installations. The use of a revolutionary new tubing is expected to be available in the first quarter of 1997. This tubing will allow systems to be run in very deep to ultra deep wells.

### Carthage Field (5 Travis Peak and 2 Cotton Valley Wells)

<u>Well</u>

Burnett Bros. No. 25-TP	December 19, 1995
Burnett Bros. No. 26-TP	January 5, 1996
Burnett Bros. No. 27-TP	February 29, 1996
CGU No. 15-4-TP	May 16, 1996
Burnett Bros. No. 19-CV	June 17, 1996
Burnett Bros. No. 20-CV	July 9, 1996
CGU No. 14-8-TP	October 4, 1996

#### 

### Blocker Field (2 Cotton Valley Wells)

<u>Well</u>

Rode No. 04-CV

4

Fitzgerald No. 01-CV

October 15, 1996

December 3, 1996

SOUTHWESTERN PETROLEUM SHORT COURSE -97

.

# Travis Peak Project, Using Downhole Injection System, Inc.

Assur	nptions:		6,500' T.D. 500MCFGD \$2.50/MCF Salt blockage problem decreasing production	
A.	<u>Currer</u>	nt Oper	ations	<u>Annual</u>
	1.	Wireli	ne cost - 4 days/month @ \$800/day	\$ 38,400
	2.	Lost p 4 days	production - SI for wireline cleanout - s/month, 500MCFGD @ $2.50/MCF = 1,250/day$	60,000
	3.	Lose l buildu = \$12	10% of the effective monthly production due to sale p - 10% of 500MCFGD is 50MCFGD @ \$2.50/MCF 25/day	<u>45,000</u>
			Total Annual Cost:	<u>\$143,400</u>
В.	<u>D.I.S.</u>			
	1.	Syster	n cost	\$ 15,000
	2.	Chem	ical tank and installation	2,000
	3.	Water	truck - \$50/hour @ 4 hours/month	2,400
	4.	Chem	icals	
		(a)	Biocide - using 0.50 gallon/day @ \$10/gallon = \$5.00/day	1,800
		(b)	Soap - using 1.00 gallon/day @ \$4.00/gallon - \$4.00/day	<u>1,500</u>
			Total First Year Cost:	<u>\$ 22,700</u>

SOUTHWESTERN PETROLEUM SHORT COURSE -97

### C. Benefits of Using D.I.S. Process.

1.	First year benefit (\$143,400 - \$22,700)	\$120,700
2.	Second year benefit (\$143,400 - \$5,700) (See B. 3 and 4)	<u>\$137,700</u>
	Total Accrued Benefits First Two Years	<u>\$258,400</u>

.

SOUTHWESTERN PETROLEUM SHORT COURSE -97

.

# Cotton Valley Project, Using Downhole Injection System, Inc.

ľ

Assur	nptions	:	<ul> <li>9,600' T.D.</li> <li>Packer set @ 8,600'. The injection mandrel is run throset @ 9,350'. Top of the perforations @ 8,750' perforations @ 9,450'.</li> <li>200MCFGD</li> <li>\$2.50/MCF</li> <li>Fluid buildup problem</li> </ul>	ough the Packer and and bottom of the
Α.	<u>Curre</u>	nt Oper	ations	Annual
	1.	Produ causes = 400	ction Intermeter - Shutting in for pressure buildup s loss of production. Lose 200MCFGD, 2 days/week DMCF/week, which is 20MMCF/year @ \$2.50/MCF <u>Total Annual Cost</u> :	<u>\$ 50,000</u> <u>\$,50,000</u>
<b>B</b> .	<u>D.I.S</u>	<u>. Cost</u> .		
	1.	Syster	n cost	\$ 19,200
	2.	Chem	ical tank and installation	2,000
	3.	Water	truck - \$50/hour @ 4 hours/month	2,400
	4.	Chem	icals	
		(a)	Biocide - using 0.50 gallon/day @ \$10/gallon = \$5.00/day	1,800
		(b)	Soap - using 6.60 gallons/day @ \$4/gallon = \$26.40/day	<u>9,500</u>
			Total First Year Cost:	<u>\$ 34,900</u>

.

# Increase in Production Utilizing D.I.S.

8

<u>CASE I</u> :	40% increase 80 MCFGD @ \$2.50/MCF = \$200/day Regain lost production (see A.1 above)	\$ 72,000 <u>50,000</u> \$122,000
	Less Cost of D.I.S.	34,900
	Total First Year Benefits:	<u>\$ 87,100</u>
	Total Second Year Benefits (see B.3 and 4 above):	<u>\$108,300</u>
<u>CASE II</u> :	60% increase 120MCFGD @ \$2.50/MCF = \$300/day Regain lost production (see A.1 above)	\$ 108,000 <u>50,000</u> \$158,000
	Less Cost of D.I.S.	<u>34,900</u>
	Total First Year Benefits:	\$123,100
	Total Second Year Benefits (See B.3 and 4 above):	<u>\$144,300</u>
	Total Accrued Benefits First Two Years:	<u>\$267,400</u>

.